

Waking up one day in the hospital as a highly educated but severely brain damaged man unable to remember most of the prior 34 years is impossible to describe. It was life changing to encounter online and have access to most everything learned by humanity. The person I had been during the first 34 years of my life had published personal and business websites as early as 1997. The personal sites had not been maintained while I was still an incompetent and had been registered by 'domainers' using them for income based on traffic the old links to my personal art publications still generated. This felt like being transgressed but there was no police or other agency to contact. The enter-net was a wholly unregulated common carrier for absolutely ANY telecommunications at all.

Being contacted by a forgotten daughter via email is another impossible experience to describe. The daughter I had no memories of advised me of hating the image results returned in searches for "Curtis Neeley" while in school because of the nudity encountered. These images were returned due to prior fine art images of naked figures being marketed and sold internationally. Several naked images were online because GOOG scanned these images from a library book in NY and placed these naked images online. I, Curtis Neeley, begun to contact websites worldwide and have them remove the naked images once done by me.

Curtis Neeley experienced something after six-weeks+ in a coma on a respirator which cannot be described by any human language. If the experience was described it could not be understood. Curtis Neeley no longer takes photographs of naked people and does not believe naked people should be seen by anyone except their spouse.

Curtis Neeley does not believe a living Fetus should be electively killed. Curtis Neeley believes monogamous relationships are the only honorable physical relationships. These should be allowed to be acknowledged in a formal ritual or rite to respect the honor of monogamy. Curtis Neeley understands homosexuality proscribed in the King James translation of the Bible only proscribed frivolous homosexuality and did/does not include sexual orientations.

It should have been illegal for naked images to be transmitted via the common carrier (enter net) to any anonymous school child or any anonymous person according to current laws of the United States. Any person logged-in and authenticated as a known individual would be allowed to receive any legal communication.

Seeds that are distributed en mass with no concern whatsoever about how received are broadcast. A billboard communication is being broadcast to the public. In most of Iran, it is possible to hear the call to morning prayers being broadcast in any town regularly.

Anything distributed without concern for how this anything is being received has been broadcast to the public. Honorable humanity will soon not allow broadcasting on the (enter net). U.S. law forbids the current situation but the hazardous nuisance of the (enter net) has been allowed to exist in wild error.

The air around us should be clean and not harm us. The water we drink should should be clean and not harm us. The food we eat should be clean and not harm us. Human governments have established procedures for protection of how these are delivered and by whom.

Airwaves surround us all, right? An airwave is the medium transmitting radio waves; - right? If so, airwaves must include leaves, grass, birds, walls, windows, and pretty much everything between a radio and the radio station antenna. Right? NOPE. An airwave does not and has never physically existed.

An airwave was and remains nothing but the made-up figure of speech Lord Most Honorable John Paul Stevens used to aid *American* people with the concept of modulated electromotive field propagation. No airwave was or is required to transmit modulated EMF. An airwave is nothing except a figure of speech used to help *Americans* understand a physical scientific phenomena few citizens will ever understand. An "airwave" is not a physical medium and has always been a figure of speech.

Wires are a medium and have always been a detectable physical medium like the atmosphere that surrounds us or part of what was called airwaves. Samuel Morse patented telegraph wire telecommunications and the Morse code in the 19th century. In the late 19th century around 1880 the first transatlantic telegraph was sent from the U.S. President to the Queen of England or from point A to point B.

This "point to point" type telecommunication transfer perhaps excited Chairman Pai in the ignorant attempt to twist wire telecommunications into something besides the Title II Common Carrier these have been for around 20+ years or until the FCC Title II net neutrality order was required in 2015 to prevent censorship of disfavored wire telecommunications by Verizon Inc., AT&T, and other ISPs. The interconnected network of wires were assumed to be a common law common carrier. Interconnected wires now wrap around the Earth many times after encouraged and funded by the F.C.C., the F.T.C., other government entities, and by private businesses.

In 1997, Lord Most Honorable John Paul Stevens quoted "*finding 81*" in *Reno v. ACLU*, 521 U.S. 844 (1997) and thereby invented or coined [sic] "Internet". This elderly justice made a ruling which is now wholly VOID due to changed facts and changed online situations. "*Finding 81*" is now an obsolete stipulated admission agreed to at one time by Hon Janet Reno and the ACLU around when Mr. Clinton committed adultery in the White House. The [sic] "Internet" is now and was never anything but the development of the wire medium best known for BROADCASTING "obscene, indecent or profane" material illegally to the unknown without prosecution counter to law making GOOG the most profitable organized criminal in history.

In 1999, Wi-Fi was trademarked but was rarely available. Wi-Fi exists today in most populated areas and in almost all U.S. schools. Broadcasting by wire once required physical contact with a networked wire. The "airwaves" were once made safe by F.C.C. regulation but only until networked wires were connected to radio towers. Once this happened; Anything BROADCAST by wire was immediately BROADCAST by radio.

This change made all transmission of "obscene, indecent or profane" material to the anonymous an illegal broadcast according to the Supreme Court determination written by Lord Most Honorable John Paul Stevens in the second year of a thirty-four year six month SCOTUS rule. (1978)

This honorable ruling was nineteen years before the dishonorable *Reno v ACLU* 632 U.S. 844 mistake. This, now VOID, dishonorable mistake was made by SCOTUS in a ruling written by seventy-seven year old Lord Most Honorable John Paul Stevens due to senescence whether senility had actually begun or if cultural senility was shared with another eight elderly oligarchs.

There could also be some other mental defect(s) causing such an absurd, factually wrong ruling. This monumental ruling is now clearly just monumentally VOID. The mistake was written by an elderly oligarch who finished high school before nuclear weapons existed and passed the bar exam before humanity first visited the moon.

In *FCC v. Pacifica*, a much younger fifty-eight year-old Lord Most Honorable John Paul Stevens ruled the F.C.C. was given the duty of regulating interstate and worldwide radio communications by Congress when these telecommunications were BROADCAST to the unwitting. This regulation was to ensure “airwaves” were safe when encountered by the unwitting per the Communications Act of 1934 and Title 18.

The VOID *Reno v. ACLU* ruling was done three years before Wi-Fi was patented. The pervasive nature of this wire-radio interface (Wi-Fi) was and remains a monumental change in fact making the *Reno v. ACLU* 632 US 844 mistake wholly VOID.

Every lawyer in the U.S. is aware judicial rulings involving facts which have changed due to the passage of time and/or due to newly developed technologies are VOID and have absolutely no effect. Every judge in the United States has known this about *Reno v. ACLU* 632 U.S. 844 for over twenty years.

Curtis Neeley realized most all Article III judicial personnel were elderly and unable to remember or understand the importance of raising children and ensuring the children's mental innocence was protected from indecent, obscene, or profane material. Exposure to indecent, obscene, or profane material like nakedness immediately causes curiosity and mentally distorts viewer perceptions of morality.

It is a natural scientific fact that well-done art involving naked photography is pleasing to the random human viewer. This causes a physical lure similar to the appeal of sugary sodas, junk food, and recreational drugs like alcohol.

Authorities should and do protect children and young adults from alcohol until the age of 21. Movies shown to the public are classified so theaters (parents) may exclude children from viewing movies presenting nakedness and other indecent, obscene, or profane material. Whether material is considered indecent, obscene, or profane varies by culture and parents in one culture may not teach children to cover the female breasts or to perceive the uncovered female breast as indecent. Very few cultures remain which do not regularly cover the female breast and these primitive cultures are becoming extinct very quickly if any of these still exist.

The parents of one school (Cedarville, AR) once attempted to prevent exposure to “Harry Potter” books due to parents considering these books indecent or profane material. Elderly Article III oligarch Jimm Larry Hendren did not allow these parents to exclusively decide what would be considered indecent or profane and teach this choice to their own children.

This ruling was as wrong as the *Reno v ACLU* mistake but was consistent with the general shift in most elderly oligarchs. Article III oligarchs apparently forget how important raising children is and the importance of teaching about morality when young.

Attaching computers to the common carrier wire-radio network called the (enter-net) and placing presentations on these computers to be displayed to the public was a broadcast when the location of these presentations were disclosed.

This was true until some of these presentation transmissions were blocked or slowed by an ISP. When this first occurred to Netflix and when AT&T began slowing transmitted data based on volume being used, the (enter-net) was no longer a common carrier of telecommunications but became an “information service” like Chairman Ajit Pai hopes to return to.

Broadcasting naked presentations via the (enter-net) has been illegal at least since 1999. Children should not be able to view indecent, obscene, or profane material via radio per Title 18. Requiring logging-in does not verify age but does prevent the indecent, obscene, or profane material from being broadcast and makes the speech a private identified individual exchange with full First Amendment protections like were mistakenly overprotected by VOID *Reno v ACLU*, 521 U.S. 844.

The February 26, 2015 *Open Internet Order* protected the wire communications called the (enter-net) and made these an actual Common Carrier of Telecommunications instead of the information service AT&T and Verizon were treating these as. AT&T now slows traffic after 22 Gigabytes and bills more for volume of data delivered as if this were a legitimate network management strategy. This data volume pricing by AT&T is simply a profit management strategy due to complete monopoly in very many markets.

ISPs are normally a monopoly just like other utilities are. There is very little drive for infrastructure investment as coverage of an area is completed just like for a utility. This is why AT&T, et. al. want to turn (enter-net) wire communications into an information service where data is billed per bit depending on whatever the bit is.

This would be like Mayberry Operator Sarah letting Barney Fife call Andy Griffith for a dime but requiring a quarter to connect Barney Fife to Thelma-Lou. This was not allowed in Mayberry or anywhere else in the old days because of the FCC and Title II.

The (enter-net) is nothing but the logical development of wire communications like telephones once were. Without these old depression-era telephone wires and the fiber updates of these old wires; no (enter-net) whatsoever would continue to exist. It is not terribly hard to recognize this utility for the telecommunications utility the (enter-net) has been though treated like something else to protect GOOG profitability.

CC:

Nicholas.Degani@fcc.gov

Matthew.Berry@fcc.gov

Mark.Wigfield@fcc.gov

Ajit.Pai@fcc.gov